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## Lithuanian continued

Review

$\triangleright$ Can someone remind us about our analysis of the $[$ at- $] \sim[$ ad- $]$ alternation in Lithuanian?

| at-eiti | 'to arrive' | at-praji:ti | 'to ask' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| at-imti | 'to take away' | at-kurti | 'to reestablish' |
| at-nefti | 'to bring' | ad-bekti | 'to run up' |
| at-leisti | 'to forgive' | ad-gauti | 'to get back' |
| at-likti | 'to complete' | ad-bukti | 'to become blunt' |
| at-ko:pti | 'to rise' | ad-gimti | 'to be born again' |

## Lithuanian continued

## Review

- Our analysis of the [at-] ~ [ad-] alternation in Lithuanian.

| at-eiti | 'to arrive' | at-prafisti | 'to ask' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| at-imti | 'to take away' | at-kurti | 'to reestablish' |
| at-nefti | 'to bring' | ad-bekti | 'to run up' |
| at-leisti | 'to forgive' | ad-gauti | 'to get back' |
| at-likti | 'to complete' | ad-bukti | 'to become blunt' |
| at-ko:pti | 'to rise' | ad-gimti | 'to be born again' |

(1) /-voi,+alv,--son,--cont/ $\rightarrow[+$ voi, +alv,,- son,,- cont $] / ~ \_[+ \text {voi, }- \text { son }]$

- The change in $[ \pm$ voice $]$ matches the environment, making the sounds more similar: this is a voicing assimilation rule.


## Lithuanian continued

More data
$\triangleright$ Here's some more Lithuanian. Who can tell me what's going on?

| ap-eiti | 'to circumvent' | ab-gauti | 'to deceive' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ap-iefko:ti | 'to search everywhere' | ab-3 ${ }^{\text {j }}$ ureti | 'to have a look at' |
| ap-akti | 'to become blind' | ab-3 ${ }^{\text {j }}$ elti | 'to become overgrown' |
| ap-mo:kiti | 'to train' | ab-dauj ${ }^{\text {j i iti }}$ | 'to damage' |
| ap-temdisti | 'to obscure' | ab-draskiti | 'to tear' |
| ap-Jaukti | 'to proclaim' |  |  |

## Lithuanian continued

## Voicing assimilation again

- Voicing assimilation again!

| ap-eiti | 'to circumvent' | ab-gauti | 'to deceive' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ap-iefko:ti | 'to search everywhere' | ab- $3^{\text {j }}$ ureti | 'to have a look at' |
| ap-akti | 'to become blind' | ab-3 ${ }^{\text {j }}$ elti | 'to become overgrown' |
| ap-moskisti | 'to train' | ab-dau3 ${ }^{\text {j }}$ iti | 'to damage' |
| ap-temdisti | 'to obscure' | ab-draskisti | 'to tear' |
| ap-Saukti | 'to proclaim' |  |  |

- Voiceless bilabial stops become voiced bilabial stops before voiced obstruents.
(2) $/$ - voi,+ lab, - son,- cont $/ \rightarrow[+$ voi,+ lab,- son,- cont $] / \_[+ \text {voi, }- \text { son }]$
- And here we're seeing fricatives conditioning the assimilation, not just stops.
- This clarifies that we do want to be talking about obstruents, not just stops.


## Lithuanian continued

Our voicing assimilation rules
$\rightarrow$ We now have two different voicing assimilation rules. Let's compare them.

- For alveolars:
(1) /-voi,+alv,-son,--cont/ $\rightarrow$ [+voi,+alv,--son,--cont $] / \quad[+$ voi,--son $]$
- For labials:
(2) /-voi,+lab,--son,--cont/ $\rightarrow$ [+voi,+lab,--son,--cont] / _[+voi,--son $]$
$\triangleright$ Are we missing something?


## Lithuanian continued

Our voicing assimilation rule
$\rightarrow$ We now have two different voicing assimilation rules. Let's compare them.

- For alveolars:
(1) /-voi, +alv,--son,--cont/ $\rightarrow$ [+voi, +alv,, son,--cont $] / \quad[+$ voi,--son $]$
- For labials:
(2) /-voi, +lab,--son,--cont/ $\rightarrow$ [+voi, +lab,--son,--cont] / _[+voi,--son]
- These two rules are the same except for their specification of place.


## Lithuanian continued

Our voicing assimilation rule

## $\rightarrow$ We only need one rule:

- For alveolars:
(1) $/-$ voi, + alv,- son, - cont $/ \rightarrow[+$ voi, + alv,- son,- cont $] / \quad[+$ voi, - son $]$
- For labials:
(2) $/$-voi, + lab,, son, - cont $/ \rightarrow[+$ voi, + lab,- son,- cont $] / \quad[+$ voi, - son $]$
- These two rules are the same except for their specification of place.
- If we remove the place specification, we can capture both processes with a single rule:
(3) /-voi,-son,-cont/ $\rightarrow[+$ voi,-son,--cont $] / \quad[+$ voi,-son $]$


## Natural classes

A natural class in Lithuanian

- For alveolars:
(1) $/-$ voi, +alv,,- son,,- cont $/ \rightarrow[+$ voi, + alv,- son,,$-c o n t] / ~ \_[+ \text {voi,--son }]$
- For labials:
(2) /-voi, +lab,--son,--cont/ $\rightarrow$ [+voi, +lab],-son,--cont $] / \quad[+$ voi,--son $]$
- For any place:
(3) /-voi,--son,--cont/ $\rightarrow$ [+voi,--son,--cont] / _[+voi,--son $]$
- Instead of applying to only one segment, this unitary rule applies to a natural class of segments:
- All the segments in the language that share the feature specification /-voi,--son,--cont/.


## Natural classes

## A natural class in Lithuanian

- Here's all of the consonants of Lithuanian again.

|  | Labial |  | Alveolar |  | Palatal | Velar |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stops | p | b | t | d |  |  | k | g |
| Affricates |  |  | ts | d | y | d |  |  |
| Fricatives | f | v | s | z | $\int$ | 3 | x | f |
| Nasals |  | m |  | n |  |  |  |  |
| Approximants |  |  |  | $\mathrm{l}, \mathrm{r}$ |  | j |  |  |

$\triangleright$ Which consonants should this rule apply to?
(3) /-voi,--son,--cont/ $\rightarrow$ [+voi,--son,--cont $] / ~ \_[+ \text {voi,--son }]$

## Natural classes

A natural class in Lithuanian
$\rightarrow$ All the voiceless stops and affricates.

|  | Labial | Alveolar | Palatal | Velar |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stops | p b | t d |  | k g |
| Affricates |  | ts dz | tf d3 |  |
| Fricatives | f V | S Z | $\int 3$ | X X |
| Nasals | m | n |  |  |
| Approximants |  | l,r | j |  |

$\triangleright$ Which consonants should this rule apply to?
(3) /-voi,-son,-cont/ $\rightarrow[+$ voi,--son,--cont $] / \quad[+$ voi,-son $]$

## Natural classes

## A natural class in Lithuanian

$\triangleright$ What prediction does our rule make about voiceless fricatives?

|  | Labial |  | Alveolar |  | Palatal | Velar |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stops | $\mathbf{p}$ | b | $\mathbf{t}$ | d |  |  | $\mathbf{k}$ | g |
| Affricates |  |  | ts | dz | $\mathbf{y}$ | d |  |  |

(3) $/$-voi, - son, - cont $/ \rightarrow[+$ voi, - son,- cont $] / \quad[+$ voi,- son $]$

## Natural classes

## A natural class in Lithuanian

$\triangleright$ What prediction does our rule make about voiceless fricatives?

|  | Labial |  | Alveolar |  | Palatal |  | Velar |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stops | p | b | t | d |  |  | k | g |
| Affricates |  |  | ts | dz |  | d |  |  |
| Fricatives | f | V | S | Z | ¢ | 3 | X | V |
| Nasals |  | m |  | n |  |  |  |  |
| Approximants |  |  |  | $1, \mathrm{r}$ |  | j |  |  |

(3) $/$-voi,-son,-cont $/ \rightarrow[+$ voi, -son, - cont $] / \ldots[+$ voi, - son $]$

- This rule predicts that voiceless fricatives won't participate in voicing assimilation, because they are [ + continuant $]$.


## Natural classes

## A natural class in Lithuanian

$\triangleright$ Do you think this prediction is correct?

|  | Labial | Alveolar |  | Palatal |  | Velar |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stops | p b | t | d |  |  | k | g |
| Affricates |  | ts | dz |  | d |  |  |
| Fricatives | f V | S | Z | ¢ | 3 | X | Y |
| Nasals | m |  | n |  |  |  |  |
| Approximants |  |  | 1,r |  | j |  |  |

(3) $/-$ voi,-son,-cont $/ \rightarrow[+$ voi, - son, - cont $] / \ldots[+$ voi,- son $]$

- This rule predicts that voiceless fricatives won't participate in voicing assimilation, because they are [+continuant].


## Natural classes

Lithuanian fricatives and voicing assimilation

- The voiceless fricatives do participate in voicing assimilation:

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { /kas-davo:/ } & \rightarrow & \text { [kazdavo:] } & \text { 'dug' } \\
/ \text { nef-dam-a/ } & \rightarrow & \text { [ne3dama] } & \text { 'carry' }
\end{array}
$$

* /f,x/ are rare sounds in Lithuanian, so I haven't found any examples, but we predict they should behave the same as $/ \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{f} /$.


## Natural classes

Lithuanian fricatives and voicing assimilation

- The voiceless fricatives do participate in voicing assimilation:

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
/ \text { kas-davo:/ } & \rightarrow & \text { [kazdavo:] } & \text { 'dug' } \\
/ \text { ne } \int \text {-dam-a/ } & \rightarrow & \text { [nezdama] } & \text { 'carry' }
\end{array}
$$

* $/ \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{x}$ / are rare sounds in Lithuanian, so I haven't found any examples, but we predict they should behave the same as $/ \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{J} /$.
$\triangleright$ Should this change our rule?
(3) /-voi,--son,--cont/ $\rightarrow$ [+voi,--son,--cont] / _[+voi,--son]


## Natural classes

Updating our rule

- The voiceless fricatives do participate in voicing assimilation:

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { /kas-davo:/ } & \rightarrow & \text { [kazdavo:] } & \text { 'dug' } \\
/ \text { nef-dam-a/ } & \rightarrow & \text { [ne3dama] } & \text { 'carry' }
\end{array}
$$

* /f,x/ are rare sounds in Lithuanian, so I haven't found any examples, but we predict they should behave the same as $/ \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{J} /$.
$\triangleright$ Should this change our rule? Yes!
(3) /-voi,--son, -cont/ $\rightarrow$ [+voi,--son, -cont] / _[+voi,--son]
(4) /-voi,--son/ $\rightarrow$ [+voi,--son] / _[+voi,--son $]$
- This shows that the context and the change match up perfectly:
$\rightarrow$ Both deal exclusively with voicing and sonorancy.


## Natural classes

The benefits of natural classes
(4) $\quad /-$ voi, - son $/ \rightarrow[+$ voi, - son $] / \_[+ \text {voi },- \text { son }]$

- Thinking about rules in terms of natural classes is important for a number of reasons:


## Natural classes

The benefits of natural classes
(4) $\quad /-$ voi, - son $/ \rightarrow[+$ voi, - son $] / \_[+ \text {voi, },- \text { son }]$

- Thinking about rules in terms of natural classes is important for a number of reasons:
- It lets you capture rules that apply to more than one segment, which makes your analysis simpler (as opposed to restating the equivalent rule for each segment).


## Natural classes

The benefits of natural classes
(4) /-voi,--son/ $\rightarrow$ [+voi,--son] / _[+voi,--son]

- Thinking about rules in terms of natural classes is important for a number of reasons:
- It lets you capture rules that apply to more than one segment, which makes your analysis simpler (as opposed to restating the equivalent rule for each segment).
- It lets you understand the motivation behind the rule, because it lets you drill down on the features that are actually important.


## Natural classes

The benefits of natural classes
(4) $\quad /-$ voi, - son $/ \rightarrow[+$ voi, - son $] / \_[+ \text {voi, },- \text { son }]$

- Thinking about rules in terms of natural classes is important for a number of reasons:
- It lets you capture rules that apply to more than one segment, which makes your analysis simpler (as opposed to restating the equivalent rule for each segment).
- It lets you understand the motivation behind the rule, because it lets you drill down on the features that are actually important.
- It makes predictions about the way that your rules work in the language on the whole, so you can be more sure that you have the right analysis.


## Natural classes

The benefits of natural classes
(4) $\quad /-$ voi, - son $/ \rightarrow[+$ voi, - son $] / \_[+ \text {voi, },- \text { son }]$

- Thinking about rules in terms of natural classes is important for a number of reasons:
- It lets you capture rules that apply to more than one segment, which makes your analysis simpler (as opposed to restating the equivalent rule for each segment).
- It lets you understand the motivation behind the rule, because it lets you drill down on the features that are actually important.
- It makes predictions about the way that your rules work in the language on the whole, so you can be more sure that you have the right analysis.
$\rightarrow$ Rules (almost) always apply to natural classes, rather than a collection of segments defined by a disjoint set of features.


## English consonants

## The consonants of English

- Here's the consonant chart for English, including major place features, which group specific places together based on the nature of their articulation.

|  | labial |  | coronal |  |  | dorsal | glottal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Biabial | Labiodental | Interdental | Alvolar | Palatal | Velar | ${ }_{\text {clotal }}$ |
| Stops | p b |  |  | t d |  | k g |  |
| Affricates |  |  |  |  | t9 \% |  |  |
| Fricatives |  | f v | $\theta$ ð | s z | $\int 3$ |  | h |
| Nasals | m |  |  | n |  | ๆ |  |
| Liquids |  |  |  | l,ı |  |  |  |
| Glides | w |  |  |  | j |  |  |

## English consonants

Labials

- The feature labial groups together bilabial (constriction with both lips) and labiodental (constriction between lower lip and upper teeth).

|  | Labial |  | CORONAL |  |  | DORSAL | glottal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bilabial | Labiodental | Interdental | Alveolar | Palatal | Velar | Glottal |
| Stops | p b |  |  | t d |  | $\mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{g}$ |  |
| Affricates |  |  |  |  | ¢ 0 |  |  |
| Fricatives |  | f v | $\theta$ ð | S Z | $\int 3$ |  | h |
| Nasals | m |  |  | n |  | $\eta$ |  |
| Liquids |  |  |  | l, ז |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {Glides }}$ | w |  |  |  | j |  |  |

## English consonants

Coronals

- The feature coronal groups together interdental (tongue tip between/at the teeth), alveolar (tongue tip at the alveolar ridge), and postalveolar/palatal (tip/middle of tongue at/near hard palate).

|  | LABIAL |  | CORONAL |  |  |  |  | DORSAL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GLOTTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Bilabial | Labiodental | Interdental | Alveolar | Palatal | Velar | Glottal |  |
| Stops | p | b |  |  |  | t | d |  |

## English consonants

Dorsals

- The feature dorsal groups together velar (tongue body up to soft palate) and uvular [not used in English] (tongue body back to uvula).

|  | LABIAL |  |  | CORONAL |  |  |  |  | DORSAL | GLOTTAL |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bilabial | Labiodental | Interdental | Alveolar | Palatal | Velar | Glottal |  |  |  |  |
| Stops | p | b |  |  |  |  | t | d |  | k | g |

## English consonants

## Glottals

- The feature glottal refers just to glottal sounds (constriction at the vocal folds).

|  | LABIAL |  | Coronal |  |  | DORSAL <br> Velar | $\begin{gathered} \text { GLOTTAL } \\ \hline{ }_{\text {Glottal }} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ${ }^{\text {Bilabial }}$ | Labiodental | Interdental | Alveolar | Palatal |  |  |
| Stops | p b |  |  | t d |  | $\mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{g}$ |  |
| Affricates |  |  |  |  | ¢ 0 |  |  |
| Fricatives |  | f v | $\theta$ ð | S Z | $\int 3$ |  | h |
| Nasals | m |  |  | n |  | $\eta$ |  |
| Liquids |  |  |  | l, ז |  |  |  |
| Glides | w |  |  |  | j |  |  |

## English consonants

(Marginal) allophones

- The (voiceless) glottal stop [?], voiced glottal fricative [f], the (voiced) labiodental, dental, and palatal nasals [ $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}]$, and the (voiced) alveolar flap [r] are all sounds that appear as allophones in English.

|  | LABIAL |  | Coronal |  |  | DORSAL <br> Velar | Glottal <br> Glottal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bilabial | Labiodental | Interdental | Alveolar | Palatal |  |  |
| Stops | p b |  |  | t d |  | $\mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{g}$ | (1) |
| Affricates |  |  |  |  | ¢ 0 |  |  |
| Fricatives |  | f v | $\theta$ б | S z | $\int 3$ |  | h (f) |
| Nasals | m | (m) | (n) | n | (n) | $\eta$ |  |
| Liquids |  |  |  | 1,x |  |  |  |
| Glides | w |  |  | (r) | j |  |  |

## English consonants

## Practice with natural classes in English!

- Now that we know all the consonant sounds and consonant features, let's work on identifying natural classes. (Prompts on the handout.)

|  | LABIAL |  | CORONAL |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { DORSAL } \\ \hline \text { Velar } \end{gathered}$ | glottal <br> Glottal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bilabial | Labiodental | Interdental | Alveolar | Palatal |  |  |
| Stops | p b |  |  | t d |  | k g | (3) |
| Affricates |  |  |  |  | t9 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |
| Fricatives |  | f v | $\theta$ б | S z | $\int 3$ |  | h (f) |
| Nasals | m | (m) | (n) | n | (n) | ๆ |  |
| Liquids |  |  |  | 1,x |  |  |  |
| Glides | w |  |  | (r) | j |  |  |

